

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,083	04/17/2001	Akira Shinada	7217/64316	7741
7590 07/20/2004		EXAMINER		
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP			NGUYEN, NAM V	
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2635	/
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 7	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/836,083	SHINADA, AKIRA				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nam V Nguyen	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (1) and (1) CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli I) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee are fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF).						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:						
<i>'</i>						





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended claims amend the limitation that a pause control means included in said electronic equipment for receiving the signal output from the control means for placing the electronic equipment in a pause mode for a predetermined period o time before shifting from the standby-condition to the normally operating condition raises new issue that requires further consideration. Therefore, the amended claims will not be entered.

W

MICHAEL HORABIK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Muhus Forlet